

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 LONDON 06531 301835Z

73

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02

INR-07 L-02 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01

SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 DODE-00

NSC-05 BIB-01 /088 W

----- 111541

R 301742Z APR 75

FM AMEMBASSY LONDON

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0698

INFO AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

S E C R E T LONDON 06531

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PARM, MBFR, UK

SUBJECT: MBFR: EC NINE EXPERTS MEETING

REF: (A) DUBLIN 731 (B) LONDON 6079

SUMMARY. TERENCE WOOD, UK PARTICIPANT IN APRIL 22 EC
MBFR EXPERTS MEETING, REPORTS THAT MEETING COVERED LITTLE
OF SUBSTANCE BUT UK JUDGED IT TO BE A SUCCESS BECAUSE IT
DID PROVIDE A FORUM FOR FRENCH COMMENTS ON MBFR. WHILE
THERE WILL BE NO INSTITUTIONALIZATION OF THIS GROUP, UK
EXPECTS THAT THERE WILL BE SIMILAR MEETINGS AT IRREGULAR
INTERVALS IN FUTURE. AT INSISTENCE OF FRENCH, EXPERTS

SECRET

PAGE 02 LONDON 06531 301835Z

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

DID NOT MAKE WRITTEN REPORT OF DISCUSSIONS TO POLITICAL DIRECTORS. END SUMMARY.

1. TERENCE WOOD OF FCO GAVE US A FULL BRIEFING ON EC MBFR EXPERTS MEETING IN DUBLIN APRIL 22. HE NOTED THAT THIS WAS THIRD MEETING OF THE GROUP, THE LAST HAVING TAKEN PLACE ALMOST A YEAR AGO. THE UK BELIEVES THAT THERE SHOULD BE A FORUM FOR EUROPEANS TO DISCUSS REGIONAL ASPECTS OF MBFR AND SO WERE PLEASED WHEN FRG AND ITALY SUGGESTED RE-CONVENING EXPERTS.

2. THE UK PAPER FOR THE MEETING (REF. B) WAS DESIGNED TO FOCUS THE ATTENTION OF THE GROUP ON EUROPEAN ASPECTS OF MBFR AND TO TRY TO PRECLUDE AN UNSTRUCTURED DISCUSSION WHICH MIGHT TOUCH ON SUBJECTS UK DID NOT WANT TO TALK ABOUT, I.E. OPTION III. THIS PLOY WAS NOT ENTIRELY SUCCESSFUL - BOTH FRENCH AND ITALIANS EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT NUCLEAR COMPONENT. ALL PARTICIPANTS COMMENDED UK PAPER BUT NONE DISCUSSED ITS SUBSTANCE IN DETAIL.

2. MOST INTERESTING PART OF MEETING FOR UK WAS FRENCH INTERVENTION. MISTRAL SAID HE WAS PLAYING ROLE OF DEVIL'S ADVOCATE. HE APPEARED TO TAKE FOR GRANTED FACT THAT NUCLEAR WEAPONS WOULD BE INCLUDED IN SETTLEMENT AND THOUGHT THAT THIS WOULD BE DAMAGING TO THE WEST. FRENCH ARE DISTURBED THAT THE NEGOTIATIONS SEEM TO BE LEADING TOWARD RIGID CONSTRAINTS ON A BROAD FRONT INCLUDING EQUIPMENT -- AND AWAY FROM THE ORIGINAL CONCEPT OF GROUND FORCES ONLY. THEY ALSO DISLIKE THE POSSIBILITY OF ACCEPTING CONTRACTUAL LIMITS ON EUROPEAN DEFENSE. FINALLY, THEY FEAR THAT THE WEST IS MOVING TOWARD AN UNVERIFIABLE AGREEMENT WHICH CAN ONLY BENEFIT THE EAST.

3. OTHER INTERESTING INTERVENTIONS WERE BY DUTCH AND ITALIAN REPRESENTATIVES. DUTCH HAD CONSULTED WITH UK MBFR COMMUNITY ON WAY TO DUBLIN -- DUTCH REP. TOOK STRONG STAND ON NEED TO AVOID NATIONAL SUB-CEILINGS. ITALIAN REP. DARIN SPOKE ON "THIRD OPTION" TO DISCOMFORT OF FRG AND UK REPS. DARIN ECHOED FRENCH CONCERN ABOUT NUCLEAR COMPONENT AND SPECULATED ON HOW NATO WOULD CONSULT ON THE

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 LONDON 06531 301835Z

QUESTION.

4. THE DANES, DUTCH AND GERMANS TOOK A STRONG STAND AGAINST INSTITUTIONALIZING THE MBFR EXPERTS GROUP. MISTRAL SAID HIS INSTRUCTIONS FORBade EVEN A WRITTEN REPORT TO THE EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS. BUT ALL AGREED THAT THERE WAS MERIT IN MEETING AGAIN -- PROBABLY IN TWO OR THREE MONTHS.

5. WOOD SAID THAT UK FEELS THAT MEETING WAS A SUCCESS EVEN THOUGH SUBSTANCE OF EUROPEAN ASPECTS OF MBFR WAS NOT DISCUSSED IN DETAIL. FRENCH SEEMED TO WELCOME OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS THEIR VIEWS. IT IS GOOD TO HAVE THEM ON RECORD. BRITISH WILL CONTINUE TO SUPPORT SUCH MEETINGS WHILE WORKING TO ENSURE THAT THEY DO NOT DUPLICATE WORK BEING DONE IN NATO. BRITISH ARE ALSO MOST ANXIOUS TO AVOID ANY IMPRESSION THAT GROUP MIGHT DEVELOP INTO A EC CAUCUS EXCLUDING U.S. AND CANADA WHICH MIGHT BE SEEN TO BE CONSIDERING SEPARATE "EUROPEAN POSITIONS" ON MBFR ISSUES. RICHARDSON

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: CONSULTANTS, MEETINGS, MEETING REPORTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 30 APR 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975LONDON06531
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750152-0520
From: LONDON
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t1975044/aaaaadcn.tel
Line Count: 127
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 DUBLIN 731, 75 LONDON 6079
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 09 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <09 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <15 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: EC NINE EXPERTS MEETING
TAGS: PARM, UK, MBFR
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006